

that the replies have just now been received. May I study and give full answers to-morrow?

Mr. SPEAKER.—All right. I will hold over the question.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The Minister is not aware of the information and the Deputy Minister is in possession of the information. What...

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is not correct to say so.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The reply is that the information has just been received by the Education Department.

—
12-30 P.M.

Completion of Drinking Water Supply Scheme in Banavara, Arsikere Taluk.

*Q.—333. **Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA** (Arsikere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) when the drinking water supply scheme was sanctioned to Banavara in Arsikere Taluk;

(b) the reasons for not completing the same till now?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(a) In December 1952.

(b) As the supply was found to be inadequate, the estimate has been subsequently revised and the question of finding funds for meeting the enhanced cost of the work is under consideration by the Municipal Council, Banavara.

Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—Sir, the scheme was sanctioned in 1952. May I know the estimate of the scheme when it was sanctioned?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—At that time it was Rs. 80,000.

Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—May I know when it was taken up for revision?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Immediately afterwards when the population increased to 5,000 a different estimate was prepared and the Municipality was

found that it was not in a position to finance the entire amount.

Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—It has taken 8 years for the Government to get the revised estimate and when was the revised estimate accepted by the Municipality?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Sir, it has not taken 8 years for the Government to get the revised estimate ready. It has taken 8 years for the Municipality to get themselves qualified for the sanction of the loan.

Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—Has not the Municipality applied for the loan?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Yes, Sir. Even when we give a loan, we test the capacity of the Municipality to repay the loan.

Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—Is it not a fact that the Municipality has increased its income to pay the loan back?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Sir, it has been calculated that it would cost nearly about Rs. 31,940 for the Municipality not only to repay the loan and interest but also to meet their maintenance charges. The resolution of the Municipality says that they would be able to earmark 10,000 from octroi, 8,000 from water cess. Therefore, they have to make up another 10 or 12 thousand rupees before they were able to get this scheme sanctioned.

Sri A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—Since 8 years they have been asking for drinking water supply. Will the Government consider this and sanction this scheme for Banavara Town?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Sir, I have made the position of Government very clear. The moment they are able to earmark about 31,000 and show marginal amount that would be left over after the expenditure, Government will sanction the scheme.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question No. 355. I think, this question has been discussed sufficiently.

Sri K. F. PATIL (Minister for Forest and Transport).—I can only say that Government is reviewing the whole position in view of the sentiments expressed by the Members of this House regarding the rates.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Sir when that question was put, they said 'No'

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is the modified reply.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Let it not come into the proceedings till they reply to the general discussion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question now is whether the Hon'ble Minister has any right to modify the reply. He has a right to do so.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Shall we take it for granted that the whole thing is under fevision and in view of the sentiments expressed by the House and outsiders, they are going to revise the rates?

Sri K. F. PATIL.—I have clearly stated that Government is thinking of reviewing the whole position. Let the Hon'ble Member interpret as he likes.

Sri ALUR HANUMANTHAPPA.—May I know when this question would be finalised and the final order passed?

Sri K. F. PATIL.—It takes some time.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—Is it a fact that Government have constituted a committee consisting of members only from the Congress Legislature Party?

Sri K. F. PATIL.—P. S. P. Party also approached me with their leader and we are discussing with them. Government have not constituted any committee.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—May I know the percentage of increase in this bus fare in the old Mysore State?

Mr. SPEAKER.—(Addressing Sri Sivappa) The Hon'ble Member is putting a supplementary question. This question has been fully discussed. If there is a new matter you can ask.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Sir, whether there was not a publication in the newspapers that a committee had been constituted by the Congress Legislature Party?

Sri K. F. PATIL.—P. S. P. also has passed a resolution to that effect and they have seen the Minister and discussed. If necessary we may think of forming a committee. But, no committee has been constituted now.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಕಿವಪ್ಪ.—ನಾನ್ನಾಯಿ, ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಈಪಯುದ್ಧ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನು ಹೇಳಿದರು ಏನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾಣ

ನನಗೆ ಅಳುಪ್ಪಿ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I cannot remember every word that has been spoken in the House. But I remember that some information has been given on the point. Government has made it quite clear as to what the rates are and what the increase is.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—I am very sorry, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If you still press for an answer, I will allow the question but it is not fair.

Increase in the Rates of Bus Fare by the Mysore Government Road Transport Department.

*Q.—355. **Sri ALUR HANUMANTHAPPA (Nelamangala).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the reasons for the increase in the rates of Bus fare per mile by the Mysore Government Road Transport Department;

(b) whether they would reconsider the question and reduce the Bus fare per mile in view of the agitation of the travelling public;

(c) whether they are aware that this enhancement has caused inconvenience to the travelling public?

A.—**Sri K. F. PATIL** (Minister for Forest and Transport).—

(a) The following are the reasons:

(i) Disparity existing in the rates of fares in different areas of the State.

(ii) Rise in the prices of vehicles, spare parts, lubrication oils, tyres and tubes, etc.

(iii) Considerable increase in the Motor Vehicles Taxes.

(iv) Additional expenditure on account of recent revision of pay scales of the employees of the Department.

(v) Increased transport facilities created by the Department.